From: Sent: To:

Wednesday, February 16, 2011 4:17 PM

D) (6)

Subject: Attachments: Article for Review
(4)

To: Pre-publication Review

(b) (6)

I hope you guys have been doing well. I have an article for your office's review. As always, I appreciate your consideration.

The bio will identify me as a former Treasury employee (amongst the other usual things such as (b)(6) ), and will make clear that the article represents only my own personal views, and does not represent the views of any other institution. You will find, as always, that this is heavily footnoted and any relevant information is cited to a public source (from which I clearly draw all my data).

Thank you, and have a great week.

Kindest Regards,



From: To: Date: b) (6)

Monday, March 14, 2011 3:14:28 PM

To: Pre-publication Review

(b) (6)

I hope you are well. I wanted to write to tell you that I am going to have to make some edits to the prior article I sent you several weeks ago, and that you may want to hold off doing anything further with it. The edits should not be too substantial (I will have to delete some sections that were not critical (introduction)), but I will put them in in track changes version and then send them back to you.

Thank you for your patience and assistance, and apologies for this. If you have reviewed this most of what you have done will still be relevant, but I just want you to know there might be some addition that I will send your way.

Gratefully,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

From: Sent:

Friday, April 01, 2011 10:39 AM

To:

Subject:

Re: Update

To: Pre-publication Review

## (b) (6)

I apologize for the back and forth, but please stop reviewing the article. The editor and I have decided to go in a different direction. Your work will not be wasted, for I will use much of this in a piece I am writing for (b) (4) which I will send once appropriate.

Again, I apologize.

Best,

(b) (6)

----Original Message----

From: (b) (6)

Date: Thu, 24 Mar 2011 11:58:45

To: (b) (6)

Subj

To: Pre-publication Review




I am attaching the slightly tweaked article. While sections have been moved around, the only actual additions are the 5 or 6 sentences I have highlighted in yellow. All are cited to public documents.


Thank you, again.

## (b) (6)

From: Pre-publication Review From: To: Cc: Cc: Pre-publication Review Subject: RE: Requesting publication approval Date: Tuesday, April 26, 2016 7:09:00 PM This confirms receipt of your 22 APR 2016 pre-publication submission (b) (4) to the Department of the Treasury. We note your request for expedited review and will process within the limits of our ability to meet preferred deadlines. We expect to provide you with a a final response by COB tomorrow - April 27, 2016. Regards, Treasury Pre-publication Review From: Sent: Friday April 22 2016 8:04 AM To: (b) (6) To: Pre-publication Review Subject: Requesting publication approval Good morning, I am writing to request publication approval for this article, which will be published by my employer and possibly (in the same format) in an industry journal. The article is influenced only by public sources and citations are provided. We are seeking to publish this next week and would kindly request your approval sometime before Wednesday April 27, if possible. I was a Treasury(b) (6) employee (b) (6) Thank you,



From:	(b) (6)	From: Pre-publication Review
To: Cc:		Cc: Pre-publication Review
Subject:	Treasury Response - April 2016 Pre-Publication Submission (b) (4)	
Date: Attachments:	Wednesday, April 27, 2016 7:59:00 PM (b) (4)	
Treasury revie	ewed your draft document (attached) - latest revision dated A	
(b) (4) not object to t	As written, the Dep the draft's publication.	partment of the Treasury does
This pre-public of the accurac	cation review determination is not an endorsement of the writing of the information submitted for review.	iter's views nor confirmation
Thank you for	fulfilling your pre-publication review obligation.	
Please address	s all correspondence, including emails related to this review,	to (b) (6)
Pre-publication b) (6)	n Review	
	April 22, 2016 8:04 AM	tion Review
Good morning,	esting publication approval	
possibly (in the citations are pr	o request publication approval for this article, which will be pure same format) in an industry journal. The article is influence rovided. We are seeking to publish this next week and would bre Wednesday April 27, if possible.	d only by public sources and
I was a Treasu	ury(b) (6) employee(b) (6)	
Thank you,		
_		



From: Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 6:21 PM To: To: Pre-publication Review Subject: Pre-pub review - proposed blog comment b) (6) I wish to do a blog response to a statement in (b) (4) My comment follows immediately; (b) (4) paragraph follows second, for your reference. Because it's a blog response, timeliness is especially important. Thanks (b) (6) Proposed Blog comment:



(b) (4)			14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
:					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 		the state of the s	

b) (6) From: Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2013 6:50 PM To: Cc: To: Pre-publication Review Subject: Re: Pre-pub review - proposed blog comment No problem. The time frame is (6) (4) . Thanks for the rapid response. An I OK to blog with that added in? Pre-publication Review On Mar 14, 2013, at 5:42 PM, (b) (6) wrote: > We reviewed your attached proposed blog for pre-publication purposes. As written, it contains no sensitive information. However, Treasury offices requested one change in {{double braces}} in the first sentence of the attached document (repeated below) to clarify the timeframe of your involvement: > "Proposed Blog comment: (b) (4) b) (4) > Please contact us if you have any questions. > ----Original Message----> From: (b) (6) > Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 6:21 PM > To:(b)(6) To: Pre-publication Review > Subject: Pre-pub review - proposed blog comment > I wish to do a blog response to a statement in (b)(4) My comment follows immediately; the (b) (4) follows immediately; the (b)(4) paragraph follows second, for your reference. Because it's a blog response, timeliness is especially important. Thanks (b)(6) >

75-3 7 2 3	 	 	
(b) (4)			
,			
·	 	 	
>			
>			
(b) (4)			
·			
•			

```
From:
                                                             From: Pre-publication Review
  Sent:
                         Friday March 15 2013 7:19 AM
  To:
  Cc:
  Subject:
                         RE: Pre-pub review - proposed blog comment
      An I OK to blog with that added in?
  A:
      Yes.
  ----Original Message----
  From: (b) (6)
  Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2013 6:50 PM
  To: (b) (6)
                                                              To: Pre-publication Review
  Subject: Re: Pre-pub review - proposed blog comment
  No problem. The time frame is (b) (4) ....................... Thanks for the rapid response. An I OK to blog with
  that added in?
                                          Pre-publication Review
  On Mar 14, 2013, at 5:42 PM, (b) (6)
                                                                      wrote:
b) (6)
  > We reviewed your attached proposed blog for pre-publication purposes. As written, it
  contains no sensitive information. However, Treasury offices requested one change in
  {{double braces}} in the first sentence of the attached document (repeated below) to clarify
  the timeframe of your involvement:
  > "Proposed Blog comment:
  > Please contact us if you have any questions.
   ----Original Message----
  > Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2013 6:21 PM
  > To:
                                                               To: Pre-publication Review
 > Sub
 > I wish to do a blog response to a statement in (b) (4)
                                                                                         My comment
 follows immediately; the (b) (4)
                                           paragraph follows second, for your reference. Because
 <u>it's a blog response, timeliness is especially important. Thanks (b) (6)</u>
b) (6)
 >
```

> Proposed Blog comment: (b) (4) (b) (4)	
>	
(b) (4)	